

1994

U.C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION

SAMPLE COSTS PRODUCE

~BARLEY~



Under Dryland And Conventional Tillage Conditions
IN THE CENTRAL COAST

Prepared by:

Karen Klonsky, Extension Economist, U.C. Davis
Stuart Pettygrove, Extension Soils Specialist, U.C. Davis
Michael Smith, Farm Advisor, San Luis Obispo County
Pete Livingston, Staff Research Associate, U.C. Davis

Cooperators:

Chuck Kuhnle, Grower, Santa Margarita
Harry Miller, Grower, San Miguel

George Work, Grower, San Miguel
Kenny White, Grower, Shandon

U.C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION

GENERAL INFORMATION FOR PRODUCING BARLEY

Under Dryland And Conventionally Tilled Conditions In The Central Coast - 1994

The detailed costs for barley production in the Central Coast are presented in this study. The hypothetical farm used in this report is based on a survey of selected grower practicing these techniques and consists of 7,100 acres of which 1000 acres are in conventionally tilled, dryland barley production.

Practices described in this study are based on those production procedures used by growers surveyed in this study and represent practices used under growing conditions on the Central Coast. Sample costs given for labor, materials, equipment and contract services are based on growers' costs and current figures. Some costs and practices detailed in this study may not be applicable to your situation. This study is only intended as a guide and can be used in making production decisions, determining potential returns, preparing budgets and evaluating production loans. A blank *Your Cost* column is provided to enter your actual costs on **Tables 2 and 3, Costs Per Acre to Produce Barley and Costs And Returns Per Acre to Produce Barley**, respectively.

This study consists of General Assumptions for Producing Barley and eight tables and two charts.

Table 1. Costs Per Acre To Produce Barley

Table 2. Cost And Returns Per Acre To Produce Barley

Table 3. Monthly Cash Costs Per Acre To Produce Barley, Two Year Summer Fallow Rotation

Table 4. Whole Farm Annual Equipment, Investment And Business Overhead

Table 5. Hourly Equipment Costs

Table 6. Ranging Analysis

Table 7. Monthly Cash Costs Per Acre To Produce Barley, Annual Rotation

A companion study entitled, "Sample Costs and Energy Required to Produce Barley Under Dryland and No-till Conditions in the Central Coast - 1994" is available for those interested in conventionally tilled barley production or a comparison between the two systems. Also available are two dryland barley cost studies entitled, "Sample Costs to Produce Wheat Under Dryland and Conventional Tillage Conditions in Yolo County - 1994" and "Sample Costs to Produce Wheat Under Dryland and No-till Conditions Yolo County - 1994". These and other cost of production studies can be obtained by calling (916) 752-2745 or 3589.

For an explanation of calculations used for the study refer to the attached General Assumptions or call the Department of Agricultural Economics, Cooperative Extension, University of California, Davis, California, (916) 752-3589 or the San Luis Obispo County farm advisor.

The University of California Cooperative Extension in compliance with the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, and the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 does not discriminate on the basis of race, creed, religion, color, national origins, or mental or physical handicaps in any of its programs or activities, or with respect to any of its employment practices or procedures. The University of California does not discriminate on the basis of age, ancestry, sexual orientation, marital status, citizenship, medical condition (as defined in section 12926 of the California Government Code) or because the individuals are disabled or Vietnam era veterans. Inquiries regarding this policy may be directed to the Personnel Studies and Affirmative Action Manager, Agriculture and Natural Resources, 2120 University Avenue, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, (510) 644-4270.

University of California and the United States Department of Agriculture cooperating.

U.C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION

GENERAL ASSUMPTIONS FOR PRODUCING BARLEY Under Dryland and Conventional Tillage Conditions In The Central Coast - 1994

The following is a description of some general assumptions pertaining to sample costs of producing dryland barley using conventional tillage practices in Central Coast region. Practices described should not be considered recommendations by the University of California, but rather represent production procedures considered typical for this crop and area. Some of these costs and practices may not be applicable to your situation nor used during every production year. Additional ones not indicated may be needed. Cultural practices for barley production vary by grower and region. Variations can be significant. The practices and inputs used in this cost study serve only as a sample or guide. These costs are represented on an annual, per acre basis. *The use of trade names in this report does not constitute an endorsement or recommendation by the University of California nor is any criticism implied by omission of other similar products.*

1. LAND, CLIMATE, AND ROTATION:

Land: Areas that produce dryland barley in the Central Coast are mostly located inland from the coast, east of the Santa Lucia Mountain and the Sierra Madre Mountain ranges. This region lies within of most of the southern drainage of the Salinas River and the Carrizo Plains.

The site for the farm in this study is characterized by moderate to highly erodible, uneven terrain of hilltops, hillsides, plateaus, and plains; also characteristic of these farms is the large size. Growers interviewed had farms ranging from 3,600 to 64,000 acres, some of which is owned and some leased. The farm for this study consists of 7,100 acres of land of which 1,000 acres are in actual conventional tillage, dryland barley production.

Climate: Along with soil and topography, rainfall is another important factor affecting which crops can be successfully grown. Average annual rainfall for this region vary from 9.5 inches to 12 inches, most of which comes in the winter months. Historically, temperatures have ranged from 0° F to 117° with the extremes, again, occurring in the winter and summer. Growers plan their cropping system around these conditions in order to take advantage of the best possible growing conditions for dryland barley.

Rotation: Depending on the number of fallow years in a rotation and how the fallow is utilized, i.e. grazing, little or no income may be generated for one or more years from fallowed ground. Rotation can have a beneficial effect on controlling weeds and other pests. Weeds that are not controlled by herbicides are usually managed by rotating into other crops, fallowing the land, and/or cultivation. Other commodities produced and uses for the same acreage in rotation with barley might include safflower, vetch, wheat, hay, and grazing for livestock.

Growers in this region use several different rotation patterns depending on their individual situation. Annual rainfall when it occurs, and weed pressures are probably the most important agronomic factors influencing crop rotation. Dryland barley is very dependent on the amount of

rain and how well it is stored in the soil profile. Low precipitation or weed infestations that use up stored water may cause growers to use a rotation pattern different from the two year rotation described in this report; grain - summer fallow - grain rotation. Grazing livestock, if it occurs, would take place during the summer months only. Examples of different rotations for dryland barley found in the Central Coast region may include, but are not limited to those in Table A.

Table A. DRYLAND BARLEY ROTATION PATTERNS FOR CENTRAL COAST REGION

ROTATION	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4
Annual (No-till)	Barley	Legume	Barley	Legume
2-Year (Summer Fallow)	Barley	Summer Fallow	Barley	Summer Fallow
3-Year (Summer Fallow)	Barley	Pasture (Graze)	Summer Fallow	Barley

An annual rotation shown in Table A is being used successfully by some growers under a non tillage system. The limiting factor with this system are weeds that cannot be controlled within the second year. The 2-year rotation is similar to the annual system in that it is only out of barley for one year. However, the field is fallowed in the second year using herbicides and cultivation to manage weeds. This does not allow any cash crop to be grown which reduces the average annual return to the system. This system does allow more flexibility in controlling weeds that are a problem during the barley year. The 3-year rotation includes a pasture of resident vegetation utilized for grazing livestock following barley. After the pasture year a summer fallow period begins where weeds are controlled with chemicals before barley is planted again in the fall. Advantages to this system is that a longer period of time allows for better control of weeds and additional revenues are realized by utilizing stubble and pasture for livestock feed. The main disadvantage is that the fallow year may not provide any income over the rotation period.

2. RENTAL AGREEMENT:

Growers in the Central Coast both own and lease land for barley production. Leases are charged as a per acre cash rent or a share rent on gross returns or yields. Cash rental for barley ground typically runs around \$15 per acre. Share rents on the other hand can be a straight percentage of gross returns or they can be on a sliding scale with the percentage dependent on yield. Share rents might normally range between 10 to 25%. The land in this study is leased on a share rent basis at 15% of gross return per acre.

3. GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS:

Several Federal conservation and crop support programs are used by growers of producing dryland barley on highly erodible land (HEL). A complete discussion of each of the programs cannot be accomplished in this study; it is only meant to briefly describe certain points pertinent to the barley enterprise described here. Contact local Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service (ASCS) and Soil Conservation Service (SCS) for further information.

Both ASCS and SCS are Federal agencies which provide expertise for managing various crop and conservation programs at the local level. All of the programs are administered by the ASCS, which handles applications and dispenses moneys. Technical and educational help for the conservation programs, such as measuring crop residue, is performed by the SCS. Management of program activities in counties are mandated by farmer-elected county committees. They provide the overall direction and guidance for planning and coordinating their district's

programs. County committees are also responsible for choosing which cultural practices are allowable for growers to use in order to maintain program compliance. These practices are drawn from a national list of acceptable conservation practices.

Conservation Compliance Plan: All land classified as HEL is required to have and maintain a Conservation Compliance Plan (CCP). A CCP is not a separate program, but a guide for the grower to meet individual conservation program requirements on their HEL. CCPs are designed by the grower and approved by the county committee. It is intended to discourage crop and livestock production on unprotected, HEL. Failure to institute a CCP on designated HEL results in a growers ineligibility to participate in farm support programs.

Agricultural Conservation Program: Growers wishing to produce crops on HEL can do so under the Agricultural Conservation Program (ACP). It is intended to provide cost sharing so that growers can use conservation measures they might not perform without financial assistance. By providing financial support on a maximum of 75% of the program acreage for three to ten years, growers can transition to a production system that conserves soil and water. The program must be renewed each year. Livestock grazing is allowed under the ACP, but at least 70% plant residue must be left on the surface once the rainy season begins. Grazing lets growers utilize some of the barley stubble and reduce feed expenditures for livestock.

Conservation Reserve Program: The Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) does not allow the designated land be used for crop production. Its purpose is to place HEL in reserve and to convert it to permanent vegetation or trees (non-crop) in order to enhance wildlife and environmental resources. This means growers are actually reducing productive crop acreage though it is more likely marginally, if at all, profitable. In return the grower receives a payment for acreage put in reserve. By removing this land from production growers reduce total energy requirements and cash expenditures while still receiving a return from the land. CRPs require that the land have either permanent cover, trees or both established and maintained for 10 years. Noxious weeds must be controlled and no grazing is allowed. Early withdrawal from the program results in severe penalties.

4. PRODUCTION CULTURAL PRACTICES:

Cultural practices for the production of conventional tillage, dryland barley in the Central Coast vary somewhat from grower to grower. However, due to the small number of cultural operations used to produce barley in a conventional tillage cropping system, differences between grower practices are minor. Differences in cultural inputs can be caused by seasonal pest pressures, water availability and government regulations. The practices and inputs used in this cost study serve only as a typical guide based on actual grower practices.

Fertilization: Nitrogen and phosphorus are the major nutrients required by barley on the Central Coast to insure adequate yields. Aqua ammonia (20-0-0) is commonly used to fertilize barley, though a number of other formulations containing nitrogen may also be used. Aqua ammonia is usually injected during the last tillage operation prior to planting. “Starter” fertilizers, consisting of small amounts of N and up to 30 pounds per acre of P, are often applied during planting, which occurs between November and early January. Fertilizer application rates on the Central Coast consist of 40-60 pounds per acre of N and 20-30 pounds per acre of P. While applying all of the fertilizer prior to or at planting, it is also common for or some topdressing of nitrogen to

occur in most years. In this study aqua ammonia (20-0-0) is applied at a rate of 65 pounds of actual nitrogen per acre at planting during January and is the only fertilizer application made.

Weed Control: Weed pressures vary each year, but the most common and troublesome weeds in this region consist of wild mustard (*Brassica sp.*), wild radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.), coast fiddleneck (*Amsinca intermedia*), shepardspurse (*Capsella bursa-pastoris* L.), miner's lettuce (*Montia perfoliata*), riggut brome (*Bromus diandrus*), wild oats (*Avena fatua* and *Avena barbata*), and Russian thistle (*Salsola iberica*). Control of weeds is extremely important under dryland cultural conditions because of significant yield reductions due to weed competition for water and nutrients. In conventionally tilled barley a combination of rotation, cultivation, and herbicides are used to manage weeds without losing moisture for future barley crops.

From November through December Roundup® is sprayed for control of weeds that have emerged after the first winter rains. The final herbicide application uses a combination of Glean® and 2, 4-D for control of wild oat and various broadleaves. This application is made in February through March. Roundup® is applied with the use of a ground sprayer and the combination of Glean® and 2, 4-D is sprayed by aircraft.

Planting: Planting is accomplished with wide grain drills (30-40 feet) pulled by large horsepower tractors. Since fields are cultivated prior to planting, a conventional grain drill is all that is required. Farmers growing barley under dryland conditions seed in the range of 70 to 90 pounds per acre. In this study barley is seeded at 80 pounds of per acre in November. As mentioned in the Fertilizer section, aqua ammonia is also applied at planting.

The pesticides, rates, and cultural practices mentioned in this cost study are a few of those that are listed in the [UC IPM Small Grains Pest Management Guidelines](#) and [Integrated Pest Management For Small Grains](#). Written recommendations are required for many pesticides and are made by licensed pest control advisors. For information and pesticide use permits, contact the local county Agricultural Commissioner's office. Contact your local farm advisor for advice on production practices.

5. GROWER COMMENTS:

The growers surveyed for this report provided not only information on production practices, but also commented on their experiences and observations for growing dryland barley. These are presented here. Growers interviewed expressed many similar views on the benefits and deficiencies of no-till barley production. One of the most emphasized points made by the growers was that this type of cropping system increased the amount of water that infiltrates and is held in the soil profile. This has allowed some of them to grow crops on an annual basis on some fields. The advantage to not having to leave fields fallow for one to two years following a crop is that a return is realized annually for the farm's entire production acreage. While yields are usually lower in a annual rotation system the fact of having income every year from each acre as opposed to every second or third year allows the growers to economically sustain their farms. They also felt that during the drought what rain was received was capture and held in the soil which allowed them to grow barley, even though yields were reduced. Conventionally tilled fields, they said, lost rain through runoff allowing very little moisture retention and causing the fields to remain fallow longer.

Erosion control is another benefit of no-till barley and is the primary reason for many

government programs available to the growers. A large portion of land farmed in this region is classified as highly erodible by the SCS. Yet all of the farmers in this study said they had either none or very little erosion problems. One grower stated that while he had very minor erosion troubles, neighbors on identical land had “ditches in his fields that are big enough to lose a harvester in”.

Those growers that have the right conditions to utilize an annual cropping system have found better success in controlling weeds when they are able to rotate to a different crop each year rather than leave them fallow and control them with herbicides exclusively. Although rotation manages many weeds, herbicides are important for control. The biggest problem that growers saw with the switch from mechanical to chemical control was the relatively few materials that will control certain weeds. No available herbicides can provide control for ripgut brome or zorro fescue which is problems.

All of the growers participate in several government farm programs. These include acreage set asides, ACP, CRP and private land hunting programs. Which programs were used depend on the situation of each of the growers. See the Government Programs section for a better description or contact your local ASCS or SCS office.

Grazing was also mentioned by most growers as an enterprise many of them operate and has benefited by the switch from conventional tillage to a no-till system. Significantly higher amounts of stubble per acre and less acres left fallow increase the carrying capacity. In the lower rainfall areas in this region, lower amounts of stubble are attained and grazing can put the land into non-compliance with conservation programs by leaving less than the allowable surface residue needed for proper erosion control. The one grower who does not raise cattle said that the increased stubble has improved forage for wildlife which helps their private hunting program.

In addition to the several advantages provided by a non tillage cropping system, growers described certain disadvantages. No-till drills can be very expensive, requiring large capital investments. Since no-till drills are designed to make an opening in untilled soil, a great amount of weight is required to force the coulters into the ground. Seeding with a fully loaded no-till drill on hillsides slows the time in which an acre can be planted and increases the size of tractor needed. When a limited planting window is available, slower planting time can increase a growers risk for failing to drill all of the acreage. Growers said that substituting herbicides for tillage increased speed over fields and reduced machinery and labor costs.

6. HARVEST AND TRANSPORTATION:

Harvest: Growers in the Central Coast generally own their harvest equipment. This compliment of equipment consists of combines, truck-tractors, and several grain trailers. The combines are specifically designed for hillside use. This design lets the grain platform (or header) and chassis run at the same slope as the hill while the cab and grain bin remain upright. To harvest the grain in a timely manner, 20 foot headers are used in this study. Truck-tractors are employed to haul empty grain trailers alongside the combines so harvested grain can be loaded into them. Full trailers are hauled from the fields to either on farm storage facilities or to market where it is sold.

Transportation: Growers own trucks and trailers and usually haul their grain to market themselves. The other option is to have the barley hauled by a contract hauling company. In

either situation growers bear the cost of transportation. Two transportation rates are normally charged. This reflects grain hauled from the field or from grower storage. Typical hauling charges might be \$8 per ton hauled from on-farm storage and \$10 per ton to haul grain from the field. Transportation rates used in this study are \$2 per ton for hauling from the field to farm storage and \$8 per ton for transporting from the farm to market.

Equipment for harvest operations are inventoried in investment costs on Table 4, and labor, fuel, repairs, depreciation, and operating interest, are calculated as harvest costs in Table 1. If a grower contracts his harvest operation all harvesting equipment should be removed from investment costs in Table 4, its appropriate cost should be subtracted from harvest costs in Table 1 and a custom charge would then be added.

7. YIELDS & RETURNS:

Yields: Yields for barley grown in the Central Coast used in this study is one ton per acre. Yield variations often occur due to many environmental factors that can affect dryland farming. During the drought years barley may produce only half of a normal crop.

Returns: An estimated price of a \$113.50 per ton of grain is used to calculate returns. The return price is an average based on the previous five years and is shown in Table 2.

This study does not include any income from any of the government programs. However, federal farm programs can play an important role in dryland barley production and require consideration by individual growers and landowners. Actual receipts from programs may vary, but growers should take into consideration additional returns in order to properly determine what their potential return might be. Growers should contact a local ASCS and SCS to determine how best to use these services. Returns, as shown in Table 6, will vary and the yields and prices used in this cost study are, at best, estimates taking into consideration current situations.

8. RISK:

Risk is caused by various sources of uncertainty which include production, price, and financial. Examples of these are weed damage, a decrease in price, and increase in interest rates. The risks associated with producing barley in the Central Coast under no-till, dryland conditions should not be minimized. While this study makes every effort to model a production system based on typical, real world practices, it cannot fully represent financial, agronomic and market risks which affect profitability and economic viability of barley production. Growers should consider all of the agronomic and economic risks before committing resources to barley production in the Central Coast.

9. LABOR:

Hourly wages for workers is \$5.75 per hour for both machine and non-machine workers. This is based on wages paid by growers in this study. Adding 34% for Workers Compensation, Social Security, Medicare, insurance, and other possible benefits gives labor rates of \$7.71 per hour for both machine labor and non-machine labor. Almost all of the growers supplied health insurance, housing and a truck in their benefits package. Some of the labor supplied to farms is from family members, but they are still paid the same rate in this study. Labor for operations involving machinery are 20% higher than the operation time given in Table 1 to account for extra labor involved in equipment set up, moving, maintenance, work breaks, and repair. Wages for

management are not included as a cash cost. Any return above total costs is considered a return to management and risk.

10. CASH OVERHEAD:

Cash overhead consists of various cash expenses paid out during the year that are assigned to the whole farm and not to a particular operation. These costs include property taxes, interest on operating capital, office expense, liability and property insurance, and equipment repairs.

Property Taxes: Counties charge a base property tax rate of 1% on the assessed value of the property. In some counties special assessment districts exist and charge additional taxes on property including equipment, buildings, and improvements. For this study, county taxes are calculated as 1% of the average value of the property. Average value equals new cost plus salvage value divided by 2 on a per acre basis.

Interest On Operating Capital: Interest on operating capital is based on cash operating costs and is calculated monthly until harvest at a nominal rate of 7.89% per year. A nominal interest rate is the going market cost of borrowed funds.

Insurance: Insurance for farm investments will vary depending on the assets included and the amount of coverage. Property insurance provides coverage for property loss and is charged at 0.713% of the average value of the assets over their useful life. Liability insurance covers accidents on the farm and costs \$960 for the entire farm or \$0.56 per acre.

Office Expense: Office and business expenses are estimated at \$20 per acre. These expenses include office supplies, telephones, bookkeeping, accounting, legal fees, road maintenance, etc. Cash overhead costs are found in Tables 1, 2, 3, and 4.

11. NON-CASH OVERHEAD:

Non-cash overhead is comprised of depreciation and interest charged on equipment and other investments. Although farm equipment on typical farms in the Central Coast is often purchased used, this study shows the current purchase price for new equipment adjusted to 50% of new value to indicate a mix of new and used equipment. Annual equipment and investments costs are shown in Tables 1, 2, and 4. They represent depreciation and opportunity cost for each investment on an annual per acre basis.

Depreciation: Depreciation is a reduction in market value of investments due to wear, obsolescence, and age, and is on a straight line basis. Annual depreciation is calculated as purchase price minus salvage value divided by years the investment is held. Purchase price and years of life are shown in Table 4.

Interest On Investment: Interest is charged on investments to account for income foregone (opportunity cost) that could be received from an alternative investment. Investments are assumed to be owned outright. Therefore, interest on investments is a non-cash cost. Investments include land, buildings, and equipment. Interest is calculated as the average value of the investment during its useful life, multiplied by 3.72% per year. Average value for equipment and buildings equals new cost plus salvage value divided by 2 on a per acre basis.

Average Value: The average value for land is equal to the purchase price because land does not

depreciate. The interest rate used to calculate opportunity cost is estimated as a ten year average of the agricultural sector long-run rate of return to production assets from current income. It is used to reflect the long-term realized rate of return to these specialized resources that can only be used effectively in the agricultural sector.

12. **EQUIPMENT CASH COSTS:**

Equipment costs are composed of three parts; non-cash overhead, cash overhead, and operating costs. Both overhead factors have been discussed in previous sections. Operating costs consist of fuel, lubrication, and repairs.

In allocating equipment costs on a per acre basis, the following hourly charges are calculated first and shown in Table 8. Repair costs are based on purchase price, annual hours of use, total hours of life, and repair coefficients formulated by the American Society of Agricultural Engineers (ASAE). Fuel and lubrication costs are also determined by ASAE equations based on maximum PTO hp, and type of fuel used. The fuel and repair cost per acre for each operation in Tables 1 and 4 is determined by multiplying the total hourly operating cost in Table 8 for each piece of equipment used for the cultural practice by the number of hours per acre for that operation. Tractor time is 10% higher than implement time for a given operation to account for setup time. Prices for on-farm delivery of diesel and gasoline are \$0.85 and \$1.17 per gallon, respectively.

REFERENCES:

1. American Society of Agricultural Engineers. 1992. American Society of Agricultural Engineers Standards Yearbook. St. Joseph, MI.
2. Boehlje, Michael D., and Vernon R. Eidman. 1984. Farm Management. John Wiley and Sons. New York, NY
3. Statewide IPM Project. 1981. Integrated Pest Management for Small Grains. Pub. 3312. UC DANR. Oakland, CA.
4. Statewide IPM Project. 1990. UC Pest Management Guidelines, Small Grains. *In: UC IPM Pest Management Guidelines*. Pub. 3339. UC DANR. Oakland, CA.

Table 1.

U. C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION
COSTS PER ACRE TO PRODUCE BARLEY
DRYLAND & CONVENTIONAL TILLAGE
CENTRAL COAST - 1994

Labor Rate: \$7.71/hr. machine labor

Interest Rate: 7.89%
Yield per Acre: 1.00 Ton

Operation	Operation Time (Hrs/A)	Labor Cost	Fuel, Lube & Repairs	Cash and Labor Material Cost	Costs per Acre Custom/Rent	Total Cost	Your Cost
Cultural:							
Chisel Plow Field	0.17	1.54	5.19	0.00	0.00	6.74	
Disc Field	0.14	1.32	4.24	0.00	0.00	5.56	
Cultivate Fields	0.25	2.31	6.30	0.00	0.00	8.62	
Plant & Fertilize	0.10	0.95	4.03	22.15	0.00	27.13	
Apply Post-emergent Herbicide	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.88	6.75	12.63	
Pickup Truck Use	0.08	0.74	0.46	0.00	0.00	1.20	
TOTAL CULTURAL COSTS	0.74	6.87	20.22	28.03	6.75	61.87	
Harvest:							
Harvest	0.19	1.74	6.67	0.00	0.00	8.41	
Haul From Field To Storage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	2.00	
Haul to Market	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.00	8.00	
TOTAL HARVEST COSTS	0.19	1.74	6.67	0.00	10.00	18.41	
Interest on operating capital @ 7.89%							4.49
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/ ACRE		8.61	26.89	28.03	16.75	84.77	
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/ TON						84.77	
CASH OVERHEAD:							
Office Expense							5.13
Share Rent @ 15%							20.19
Property Taxes							0.93
Property Insurance							0.66
Investment Repairs							0.05
TOTAL CASH OVERHEAD COSTS							26.96
TOTAL CASH COSTS/ ACRE							111.72
TOTAL CASH COSTS/ TON							111.72
NON-CASH OVERHEAD:							
Investment	Per producing Acre	Annual Depreciation	Annual Cost	Interest @ 3.72%			
Shop Buildings	10.56	0.53		0.20			0.72
Shop Tools	1.59	0.14		0.03			0.18
Grain Storage	7.64	0.38		0.14			0.52
Fertilizer Tanks	4.00	0.18		0.08			0.26
Fuel Tanks	8.19	0.41		0.15			0.56
Equipment	138.82	11.85		2.84			14.69
TOTAL NON-CASH OVERHEAD COSTS	170.80	13.49		3.45			16.93
TOTAL COSTS/ ACRE							128.66
TOTAL COSTS/ TON							128.66

Table 2.

U. C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION
 COSTS AND RETURNS PER ACRE TO PRODUCE BARLEY
 DRYLAND & CONVENTIONAL ILLAGE
 CENTRAL COAST - 1994

Labor Rate: \$ 7.71/hr. machine labor Interest Rate: 7.89%

	Quantity/ Acre	Unit	Price or Cost/ Unit	Value or Cost/ Acre	Your Cost
GROSS RETURNS					
Barley	1.00	Ton	113.50	113.50	
TOTAL GROSS RETURNS FOR BARLEY				113.50	
OPERATING COSTS					
Seed:					
Barley Seed	80.00	Lb	0.12	9.60	
Fertilizer:					
Aqua Ammonia	65.00	Lb	0.193	12.54	
Custom:					
Air Application	1.00	Acre	6.75	6.75	
Haul Grain	2.00	Ton	2.00	10.00	
Herbicide:					
Glean DF	0.17	Oz	34.60	5.88	
Labor (machine)	1.12	Hrs	7.71	8.61	
Labor (non-machine)	0.00	Hrs	0.00	0.00	
Fuel - Gas	0.20	gal	1.17	0.23	
Fuel - Diesel	16.04	gal	0.85	13.63	
Lube				2.08	
Machinery repair				10.95	
Interest on operating capital @ 7.89%				4.49	
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/ ACRE				84.77	
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/ TON				84.77	
NET RETURNS ABOVE OPERATING COSTS				28.73	
CASH OVERHEAD COSTS:					
Office Expense				5.13	
Share Rent @ 15% Of Gross Returns				20.19	
Property Taxes				0.93	
Property Insurance				0.66	
Investment Repairs				0.05	
TOTAL CASH OVERHEAD COSTS/ ACRE				26.96	
TOTAL CASH COSTS/ ACRE				111.72	
TOTAL CASH COSTS/ TON				111.72	
NON-CASH OVERHEAD COSTS (DEPRECIATION & INTEREST):					
Shop Buildings				0.72	
Shop Tools				0.18	
Grain Storage				0.52	
Fertilizer Tanks				0.26	
Fuel Tanks				0.56	
Equipment				14.69	
TOTAL NON-CASH OVERHEAD COSTS/ ACRE				16.93	
TOTAL COSTS/ ACRE				128.66	
TOTAL COSTS/ TON				128.66	
NET RETURNS ABOVE TOTAL COSTS				-15.16	

Table 3.

U.C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION
 MONTHLY CASH COSTS PER ACRE TO PRODUCE BARLEY
 DRYLAND & CONVENTIONAL TILLAGE
 CENTRAL COAST - 1994

Beginning FEB 93	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	TOTAL
Ending JUL 94	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	94	94	94	94	94	94	94	94
Cultural:																			
Chisel Plow Field	6.74																		6.74
Disc Field		5.56																	5.56
Cultivate Fields			3.45	3.45		1.72													8.62
Plant & Fertilize										27.13									27.13
Apply Post-emergent Herbicide														12.63					12.63
Pickup Truck Use	<u>-0.07</u>	<u>-0.07</u>	<u>-0.07</u>	<u>-0.07</u>	<u>-0.07</u>	<u>-0.07</u>	<u>-0.07</u>	<u>-0.07</u>	<u>-0.07</u>	<u>-0.07</u>	<u>-0.07</u>	<u>-0.07</u>	<u>-0.07</u>	<u>-0.07</u>	<u>-0.07</u>	<u>-0.07</u>	<u>-0.07</u>	<u>-0.07</u>	<u>-1.20</u>
TOTAL CULTURAL COSTS	6.80	5.63	3.51	3.51	0.07	1.79	0.07	0.07	0.07	27.19	0.07	0.07	0.07	12.70	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	61.87
Harvest:																			
Harvest																			8.41
Haul From Field To Storage																			2.00
Haul to Market																			<u>8.00</u>
TOTAL HARVEST COSTS																			18.41
Interest on oper. capital	0.04	0.08	0.10	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41	4.49
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/ACRE	6.85	5.71	3.62	3.64	0.20	1.93	0.21	0.21	0.21	27.51	0.39	0.39	0.39	13.10	0.47	0.47	0.47	19.00	84.77
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/TON	6.85	5.71	3.62	3.64	0.20	1.93	0.21	0.21	0.21	27.51	0.39	0.39	0.39	13.10	0.47	0.47	0.47	19.00	84.77
OVERHEAD:																			
Office Expense	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	5.13
Share Rent @ 15% Of Gross Returns																			20.19
Property Taxes						0.46						0.46							0.93
Property Insurance						0.33						0.33							0.66
Investment Repairs	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.05</u>
TOTAL CASH OVERHEAD COSTS	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	1.08	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	1.08	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	26.96
TOTAL CASH COSTS/ACRE	7.14	6.00	3.91	3.93	0.48	3.01	0.50	0.50	0.50	27.80	0.68	1.47	0.67	13.39	0.76	0.76	0.76	39.48	111.72
TOTAL CASH COSTS/TON	7.14	6.00	3.91	3.93	0.48	3.01	0.50	0.50	0.50	27.80	0.68	1.47	0.67	13.39	0.76	0.76	0.76	39.48	111.72

Table 4.

U. C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION
 WHOLE FARM ANNUAL EQUIPMENT, INVESTMENT, AND BUSINESS OVERHEAD COSTS
 DRYLAND & CONVENTIONAL TILLAGE
 CENTRAL COAST - 1994

ANNUAL EQUIPMENT COSTS

Yr	Description	Price	Yrs Life	- Non-Cash Over- Depre- ciation	Interest	- Cash Overhead - Insur- ance	Taxes	Total
93	280 HP 4WD Tractor	137245	12	10293.30	2808.04	538.21	754.85	14394.40
93	360 HP 4WD Tractor	149028	12	11177.00	3049.12	584.41	819.66	15630.19
93	Chisel Plow 40'	16904	15	1014.27	345.85	66.29	92.97	1519.38
93	Combine - 20	156238	10	14061.40	3196.63	612.69	859.31	18730.03
93	Combine - 20	156238	10	14061.40	3196.63	612.69	859.31	18730.03
93	Cultivator - Field	14137	15	848.20	289.25	55.44	77.75	1270.64
93	Disc - Offset 30'	37523	15	2251.40	767.72	147.15	206.38	3372.65
93	Drill - 30'	33415	6	5012.17	683.68	131.04	183.78	6010.67
93	Pickup Truck - 1/2 Ton	17240	4	3879.00	352.73	67.61	94.82	4394.16
93	Pickup Truck - 3/4 Ton	21759	4	4895.75	445.19	85.33	119.68	5545.95
TOTAL		739727		67493.89	15134.84	2900.86	4068.51	89598.10
40% of New Cost *		295891		26997.56	6053.94	1160.34	1627.40	35839.24

* Used to reflect a mix of new and used equipment.

ANNUAL INVESTMENT COSTS

Yr	Description	Price	Yrs Life	- Non-Cash Over- Depre- ciation	Interest	- Cash Overhead - Insur- ance	Taxes	Repairs	Total
INVESTMENT									
	Fertilizer Tanks	27682	20	1245.70	566.37	108.55	152.25	15.00	2087.87
	Fuel Tanks	56620	20	2831.00	1053.13	201.85	283.10	50.00	4419.08
	Grain Storage	52800	20	2640.00	982.08	188.23	264.00	100.00	4174.31
	Shop Buildings	73032	20	3651.60	1358.40	260.36	365.16	75.00	5710.52
	Shop Tools	11000	10	990.00	225.06	43.14	60.50	100.00	1418.70
TOTAL INVESTMENT		221134		11358.30	4185.04	802.13	1125.01	340.00	17810.48

ANNUAL BUSINESS OVERHEAD COSTS

Description	Units/ Farm	Unit	Price/ Unit	Total Cost
Office Expense			5.00	35500.00
Share Rent @ 15% Of Gross Returns			17.02	20185.72

Table 5.

U. C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION
 HOURLY EQUIPMENT COSTS
 DRYLAND & CONVENTIONAL TILLAGE
 CENTRAL COAST - 1994

Yr	Description	Actual Hours Used	COSTS PER HOUR							Total Cost s/ Hr.
			- Non-Cash Depreciation	Over - Interest	- Cash Insurance	Overhead - Taxes	Repairs	Operating Fuel & Lube	Total Oper.	
93	280 HP 4WD Tractor	1115.1	3.69	1.01	0.19	0.27	4.58	15.88	20.46	25.62
93	360 HP 4WD Tractor	1031.6	4.33	1.18	0.23	0.32	4.97	20.42	25.39	31.45
93	Chisel Plow 40'	213.7	1.90	0.65	0.12	0.17	3.24	0.00	3.24	6.08
93	Combine - 20	180.4	31.18	7.09	1.36	1.91	18.93	13.33	32.26	73.79
93	Combine - 20	180.4	31.18	7.09	1.36	1.91	18.93	13.33	32.26	73.79
93	Cultivator - Field	297.0	1.14	0.39	0.07	0.10	2.71	0.00	2.71	4.42
93	Disc - Offset 30'	142.9	6.30	2.15	0.41	0.58	7.18	0.00	7.18	16.63
93	Drill - 30'	180.0	11.14	1.52	0.29	0.41	11.18	0.00	11.18	24.53
93	Pickup Truck - 1/2 Ton	456.1	3.40	0.31	0.06	0.08	2.09	3.36	5.45	9.30
93	Pickup Truck - 3/4 Ton	456.1	4.29	0.39	0.07	0.10	2.64	3.36	6.00	10.86

Table 6.

U. C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION
RANGING ANALYSIS
DRYLAND & CONVENTIONAL TILLAGE
CENTRAL COAST - 1994

COSTS PER ACRE AT VARYING YIELDS TO PRODUCE BARLEY

	YIELD (TON/ACRE)						
	.70	.80	.90	1.00	1.10	1.20	1.30
OPERATING COSTS/ACRE:							
Cultural Cost	62	62	62	62	62	62	62
Harvest Cost	13	15	17	18	20	22	24
Interest on operating capital	4	4	4	4	4	5	5
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/ACRE	79	81	83	85	87	88	90
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/TON	113.16	101.33	92.13	84.77	78.75	73.73	69.48
CASH OVERHEAD COSTS/ACRE							
	27	27	27	27	27	27	27
TOTAL CASH COSTS/ACRE	106	108	110	112	114	115	117
TOTAL CASH COSTS/TON	151.51	134.94	122.04	111.72	103.28	96.24	90.28
NON-CASH OVERHEAD COSTS/ACRE							
	16	16	17	17	17	18	18
TOTAL COSTS/ACRE	122	124	126	129	131	133	135
TOTAL COSTS/TON	173.95	155.15	140.46	128.66	118.97	110.86	103.98

NET RETURNS PER ACRE ABOVE OPERATING COSTS FOR BARLEY

PRICE (DOLLARS PER TON)	YIELD (TON/ACRE)						
	.70	.80	.90	1.00	1.10	1.20	1.30
100.00	-9	-1	7	15	23	32	40
105.00	-6	3	12	20	29	38	46
110.00	-2	7	16	25	34	44	53
113.50	0	10	19	29	38	48	57
120.00	5	15	25	35	45	56	66
125.00	8	19	30	40	51	62	72
130.00	12	23	34	45	56	68	79

NET RETURNS PER ACRE ABOVE CASH COSTS FOR BARLEY

PRICE (DOLLARS PER TON)	YIELD (TON/ACRE)						
	.70	.80	.90	1.00	1.10	1.20	1.30
100.00	-36	-28	-20	-12	-4	5	13
105.00	-33	-24	-15	-7	2	11	19
110.00	-29	-20	-11	-2	7	17	26
113.50	-27	-17	-8	2	11	21	30
120.00	-22	-12	-2	8	18	29	39
125.00	-19	-8	3	13	24	35	45
130.00	-15	-4	7	18	29	41	52

NET RETURNS PER ACRE ABOVE TOTAL COSTS FOR BARLEY

PRICE (DOLLARS PER TON)	YIELD (TON/ACRE)						
	.70	.80	.90	1.00	1.10	1.20	1.30
100.00	-52	-44	-36	-29	-21	-13	-5
105.00	-48	-40	-32	-24	-15	-7	1
110.00	-45	-36	-27	-19	-10	-1	8
113.50	-42	-33	-24	-15	-6	3	12
120.00	-38	-28	-18	-9	1	11	21
125.00	-34	-24	-14	-4	7	17	27
130.00	-31	-20	-9	1	12	23	34